

An Information-Based Approach to Sustainable Eco-Communities through Climate Change Initiatives

M. Coskun and G. E. Gbadeyanka

ABSTRACT

Numerous searches conducted across the globe on Climatic Change initiatives reveal that current human discharges of atmospheric glasshouse gases are of the utmost in the record. Recent weather conditions have had extensive effects on man and ecological communities. Diverse varieties of living things have been impacted negatively by the sudden changes in their ecological habitats, irregular transformation in atmospheric conditions, movement forms, supplies and varieties interrelationships in action to ongoing climatic variation. The current trends and forces on diverse living components will continue to expand, and varieties of living things will further reduce. This study assessed recent information on the impact of ecological communities worldwide and their impacts on climate change alleviation. The data guiding this study derived from the various reports from academic articles and other related studies which citations appropriately utilise in this ongoing study. The research offers information on the capability of previous administrative methods for wetlands, wildlands and pampas lands, coastland ecological communities and farmlands to support and promote carbon accumulation and carbon drifts. The research suggested increasing collaborations with climatic variation solutions, hazard threat reduction, viable growth, and ecological and living organisms. The diverse solutions offer broader land-use methods that consider all investors' viable advantages, information, and competencies. Empirical proof regarding living organisms' relevance for sustainable ecological communities and operation, thus the broad impact of a climatic change initiative, is vividly represented in this study.

Keywords: Climate change, Ecological communities, Glasshouse gases, Information; Initiatives, Sustainable, Weather conditions.

Published Online: March 04, 2022

ISSN: 2684-446X

DOI : 10.24018/ejgeo.2022.3.2.246

M. Coskun

Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Geography, Karabuk University, Turkey.

(e-mail: mcoskun@karabuk.edu.tr)

G. E. Gbadeyanka*

Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Geography, Karabuk University, Turkey.

(e-mail: gbadeboedward73@gmail.com)

**Corresponding Author*

I. INTRODUCTION

It broadly acknowledged that promoting the avenue in which ecological communities are administered and utilised can significantly alleviate climatic variation and adjust to the adverse effects. Regarding the current statistics, land and coastline ecological communities accumulate high carbon in vegetation cover and soil macrobiotic substance recently accumulated in the atmospheric environment. Gross discharges from land productivity capability variation and destruction of plant communities and edaphic factor contributed Ten per cent of the gross human carbon discharges, including those from petroleum resources burning. Many land utilisation patterns, mostly from wildfire hazards, could severely affect discharges of non-carbon glasshouse gases (such as N_2O) and the rise of smog's [1], [2]. However, land ecological communities not influenced by terrestrial usage transformation eliminate a gross quantity of about 2.5 Gigatons of carbon-related substances (Gt C) per annum from the atmospheric environment [3]. In the past, land carbon accumulation was vastly connected to vegetation cover and information from the current evaluation of satellite

images. The ecological communities of continental regions, mainly tropical grassland, are highly remarkable in impact. The carbon accumulation impact of these regions is frequently prone to climatic changes [4].

Previous studies revealed land usage to evaluate heat accumulation in the atmospheric environment and worldwide heat variations in environmental communities. These are the degree of solar reflection from the earth to the atmospheric environment, the release of excess water from plant cover and evaporation, and the nature of the earth surface and atmospheric constituents in terms of breeze current [5]. These impacts are more prominent or practical in shifts among various ecological communities depending on the nature of the land use, land-use administration and activity [6]. Many unforeseen issues depend on the gross effect of the methodology worldwide and the average heat variation. The current information suggests that the effects emerged by variations in the global water recycling system which invariably affect the degree of sun reflection by the earth surface. The effect is more visible or remarkable than the adverse triggered by the atmospheric glasshouse discharges [7].

Land usage procedures impact climate change initiatives in carbon accumulation management and release extra carbon atmospheric environment. These offer extra advantages for climatic change adjustment, hazard threat minimisation, and ecological preservation. Therefore, it becomes a pillar of effective programs that unify land usage and environmental endowments. These measures include environmentally-oriented adjustment, and eco supply providers to support human adjustment to climatic alteration's negative impacts are very connected in implementation and alliance [8]. Emphasis on diverse ecological communities, the relevance of vegetation cover exposed in research. Thus, activities relating to preservation, viable usage and replanting of loss vegetation by most nations worldwide with diverse tactics to alleviate climatic alteration.

This study thus centred on diverse ecological communities or regions worldwide based on carbon accumulation and drift in diverse ecological communities, the effect of biodiversity on the rate of carbon accumulation and drift, and the extent of climate change initiatives toward sustainable ecological communities. The research revealed massive empirical proof by critically evaluating related studies on continental wetlands, coastal lands, rural and city ecological communities. Ecological communities showed their impacts on climatic alteration. Many avenues to promote their advantages over climatic change alleviation were investigated fundamentally [9], [10]. Thus, city and rural ecological communities are crucial practical situations because they could significantly impact climate change initiatives through segregation. It also covered carbon accumulation and minimised power prerequisites for heat control in accumulations and distributions to various ecological communities. It is on this foundation that the following research questions proposed to achieve the objective of this current study:

1. How significant are carbon accumulation and drift in diverse ecological communities?
2. What are the significant effects of biodiversity on carbon accumulation and drift?
3. What is the extent of climate change initiatives toward sustainable ecological communities?

II. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The current advancement in information has prompted this study regarding the geographical location of carbon accumulation and discharges, effects of biodiversity on the rate of carbon accumulation and drift, and the extent of climate change initiatives toward diverse ecological communities across the globe. These organisations, namely Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the United Nations Framework Convention, focused on climate-related issues and desert encroachment. It offers a large volume of data on cases of climatic change initiatives in wetlands, tropical grasslands, mangrove and polar vegetation. This research intends to reveal the remarkable prospect between the sustainable ecological communities and climate change initiative; because of the impacts on hydrological cleansing, eliminating the excessive environmental resources and impurities from the eco-communities. These advantages

exhibited by the eco-communities are vital for acclimatisation to climatic alteration [11]. It is the vacuum discovered in other existing studies. This current study aims to expose vital information that will serve as prerequisites for preparation and strategy formulation toward sustainable eco-communities through climatic change initiatives. The study also reveals progressive plans and resolutions toward forest restoration and farming of non-fossil plants across wetlands, veld, arid, polar and coastline regions. It also provides a platform that evaluates the degree and factors triggering environmental destruction and restoration in collaboration with the viable ecological communities and climate change alleviation. Thus, this alliance's essence is to prevent any adverse related to climate change, environmental hazard threat, eco-communities' protection and the state of essential primary occupation.

III. THEORETICAL REVIEW

A. *The Impact of Land Utilization and Ecological Destruction on Human Carbon Discharges*

Terrestrial usage often transforms human carbon discharges globally through equality betwixt transformations that trigger carbon discharges which entails transforming ecological communities for farming purposes. It eventually results in forest destruction, desertification, land degradation, and loss of valuable environmental resources. The IPCC field evaluation result revealed the gross effect of land usage alteration and environmental destruction, mostly the causative agent that triggers human carbon discharges over the past decades [12]. Thus, the net carbon discharges due to the transformation in land usage are periodically greater than the actual discharges rate, including regions experiencing a rapid rate of carbon discharges between the land and the atmospheric environment due to the frequent changes in land utilisation. For instance, records based on estimation indicated that net discharges from the equatorial forest and land destruction exponentially increased significantly for one decade (1990 to 2000). It is usually and sometimes not often regarded that the gross co₂ discharges illustrated how land usage transformation has reduced drastically for the past ten years across the globe [13]. Also, there is a remarkable increment in carbon-related discharges from petroleum burning and limestone manufacturing activities. All these significantly impact the carbon discharges estimation of land usage alteration accountable to the overall human carbon discharge over a long period.

B. *Collaborating Climatic Change Alleviation with City Ecological Sustainability*

Numerous people worldwide reside in high populated settlements that accommodate a large population density estimated by demographic experts [14]. The effect of rapid urban expansion on atmospheric glasshouse gaseous discharges due to the tremendous transformation of land utilisation, massive consumption of petroleum products and all kinds of associated pollution are proven as a vital interest. The increment in all these activities triggers decrement of estimated non-petroleum products. In contrast, the effects of carbon accumulation on soil have been very alarming [15], [16]. It was challenging to collect vital information. A proven

empirical survey revealed the soil's impervious nature, minimised carbon accumulation and drift capability compared to soil relationships with forest cover. It postulated to what degree this minimisation could occur through a broad spectrum of a different situation as not yet decided [17]. The capability of exposed city soils to accumulate and drift fossil related carbon matter varies according to the weather conditions, edaphic nature and land utilisation [18].

Putting together all the physical facilities in urban settlement depicts the efficiency and inefficiency of the eco-communities in urban relaxation centres, green areas, soil cover, vegetation cover, and farming areas. This eco-community offers a significant impact in addressing various ecological problems through an increasing urbanisation rate across the globe and sustaining these abundant natural resources of our eco-community benefits humanity at large [19]. The city eco-community administration is a constructive case showcasing the prospect of attaining collaborations between climatic change initiatives and sustainable ecological communities. Most climatic alteration initiatives focused on alleviating environmental hazards by increasing temperature in urban areas and other related medical issues, air pollution, flood and persistent windstorms, and massive rainfall situations [20]. Research works depicted that sustainable city eco-community can alleviate the earlier challenges by adding environmental protection and human safety [21]. It is possible to attain by minimising hi-tech remedies as physical facilities that make cities fascinating. Purposely for leisure and introducing other alternative clean energy sources for various human purposes [22]. The advantages of climatic change initiatives emerge from the increment in carbon accumulation and city plant cover and edaphic resources [23].

IV. METHODOLOGY

This study used an explanatory or informative qualitative method to review previous related studies regarding the management of ecological communities through climate change initiatives based on information-based techniques [24]. It revealed three fundamental questions and responses to this current study: first, how significant are carbon accumulation and drift in diverse ecological communities? Second, what are the significant effects of biodiversity on carbon accumulation and drift? Third, what is the extent of climate change initiatives toward sustainable ecological communities? Copper affirmed that information moves and interchange beneficially from existing studies to other current studies [25]. This research unfolds the impact of the information-based technique on many previous studies concerning sustainable ecological communities and climate change initiatives worldwide, especially from a contextual perspective [26]. The research questions formulation collaborate climate change initiatives to attain sustainable ecological communities. This informative qualitative method focused on study narrative, postulations, expressive tools, science-related information, predictions, cases, simulations, information mobility, information networking, teaching and learning. The essence is to source adequate information regarding climate change and sustainable ecosystem initiatives [27]-[29]. Many sciences related information-

based, including geographical information structures of sensitive ecosystems, weather conditions concerning man settlements or ecosystems, abnormal weather conditions, atmospheric conditions and forecasts, empirical investigation in diverse climatic belts, and prerequisites for assessing climate change and ecosystem initiatives [30]. The methodology applied to vary feedback and irregularity amongst data across space. However, it is very reliable due to the liberty to generate unbiased information, facilitating people's involvement and enlightenment. The advantages include cost-efficient gathering and disseminating data, creating a vast data bank for many researchers, highly beneficial to society worldwide, and non-governmental interference [31].

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Extent of Carbon Accumulation and Drift in Diverse Ecological Communities

Generally, carbon accumulations and drifts in diverse varieties of eco-communities are widely distributed all over the earth. The prospect of the effects of climatic alteration, both socially and economically on eco-communities, is demonstrated based on various alleviation measures in preventing unpredictable risks in any given environment. The efficient administrative measure needs to be on the ground to fortify vulnerable eco-communities against climatic alteration and related environmental hazards to actualise attainable initiatives. Based on this knowledge or understanding, ecological communities' categorisation depends on many environmental forces spread across the earth. For instance, the polar, equatorial, wetland, and continental veld regions are more prone to these prevailing environmental forces which varies according to the geographical location. The carbon accumulation in wetlands above Five Hundred and Fifty Gigatone which invariably covered Three per cent of the world landmass [11]. It is not all wetlands that are viable for farming purposes. However, the vegetation cover is vividly acknowledged and accountable [32]. Based on the mean measurement, wetlands valued above Ten Thousand Five Hundred gigatonnes of edaphic carbon content based on the landmass's hectare are more than the edaphic inorganic matters. While the hot wetlands have more carbon content than the polar regions varying relief patterns, and water situations of the environment [33]. According to Finland's findings (Europe) and Southern East Asia, carbon storage in this region varies significantly. It valued One Hundred and Eighty-Five Kilogram versus Three Hundred and Thirteen Kilogram on every hectare of landmass, respectively [34].

Moreover, equatorial and polar grass regions exist and encompass four landmass sections. It runs across different ecological communities in grass and timber zones and places where destruction of the forest. Massive pastoral farming is vastly prevailing which covered Fifteen per cent of the total land worldwide [35]. Because of the extensive coverage, veld eco-communities have a rejuvenating effect on global carbon equilibrium [36]. Generally, the carbon accumulated in ecological endowed veld or savannah areas grew above Four Hundred and Seventy Gigatone; it is approximately twenty

percent of the global carbon stored in forest and soil zones [37], [38]. Also, the mean rate of carbon accumulations in the savannah varies betwixt One Hundred Fifty and Two Hundred Gigatonnes in every hectare of land according to the climatic and edaphic conditions [39]. In contrast, eighty per cent of eco-community carbon accumulations in savannah areas significantly accumulated in the edaphic zone [40].

Most coastline plants cover partly or wholly waterlogged by the encroachment of ocean water which serves as a catchment for deposition of alluvial and fossil particles, waste, and carbon associated material [41]. The carbon deposits accumulated over time coupled with the prevailing ocean current [42]. The salt density of this catchment (over Fifty Million hectares, approximately less than one per cent of the total landmass) disallowed the accumulation of carbon and hydrogen atoms [43].

Polar eco-communities occupied less than Ten per cent globally, predominantly in the arctic region [44]. Most of the polar eco-communities comprise wetland plant cover. These ecological communities' zone played a vital function in the climatic structuring or mechanism is majorly regulated by mass volume of the accumulated carbon in the soil during winter. This catchment comprises approximately Ten Thousand Seven Hundred Gigatone of carbon, the most oversized carbon storage globally. It spreads evenly across the globe, but such premises have no clarity. Much shocking statistical data has indicated that polar eco-communities will eventually become the central origin of atmospheric glasshouse discharges for long forthcoming years. It indicated how climatic alteration triggers persistent melting snow cover and rising temperature [45], [46]. The condition of this region is persisting terribly with frequent ice melting due to temperature rising.

Lastly, the quantity of carbon accumulated in farmland defers significantly according to the managerial techniques, geological nature and climatic conditions. Also, macroecological situations revealed the edaphic carbon accumulations that remarkably minimised in farming areas than in lower ecological communities. Thus, changing uncultivated eco-communities to farmland minimises carbon accumulations found in edaphic contents. The research revealed that land usage switches from animal rearing to farming gives rise to low carbon accumulations of about Sixty per cent. Some may take a long time to lose betwixt Twenty-Five per cent and Seventy-Five per cent of carbon accumulations. These vary from farmland to another if likened to uncultivated ecological communities [47], [48]. It takes a long period for any tampered eco-communities to recover their edaphic carbon content, probably One Hundred Years in the polar environment. It recovered rapidly and gradually in hot and mangrove environments, respectively [49].

B. Effect of Biodiversity on the Rate of Carbon Accumulation and Drift

Comparative facts from the dimensional relationship assessment's view show the diversity of living organisms and carbon accumulations for various ecological communities [50], [51]. These scenario assessments are broadly affected by the non-living components within a specific eco-community, including the rate of carbon accumulation and

drift, the habitat's water situations, and other intrusion activities. On the other hand, several searches and empirical surveys regarding the norms of a conceptual conversationalist. It implies that living organisms' diversity could alter the outcome of activity, intensity, and time frame of the eco-community carbon accumulations [52], [53]. Empirical facts further acknowledged approving hypothetical statements that significant relationships betwixt diverse living/non-living organisms and carbon accumulation frequency. The diversity of macro-living organisms has a significant tendency to expand eco-communities resistance and their carbon accumulations capacity for intrusion [54]. Other searches postulated that every diverse living/non-living organism has different roles/colonies. These are incredibly vital for carbon accumulation and drift; thus, any destruction could alter the ecological communities' existence and roles [55], [56].

C. Extent of Climate Change Initiatives toward Sustainable Ecological Communities

So many several techniques establish to facilitate eco-supporting initiatives of eco-communities. It includes personal initiative programs, people-oriented environmentalism programs, environmental laws formulation programs, human-capability development, and reward packaging for efficient land operators at local and national levels. The sustainability of eco-community measures can be facilitated tremendously by efficient topographical mapping, proper evaluation of various land operators, and spatial analysis of eco-community offers. The viability and distribution of land among users should be done according to the topographical mapping, which indicates the relationships betwixt the eco-communities and their environments [57], [58]. Many surveys have examined the tendency to minimise the shortcomings among these conflicting objectives – goods manufacturing, people primary occupation and foodstuff/provisions sustainability, climate alteration alleviation and adjustment, and eco-protection in a particular eco-community [59].

Regardless of these diverse techniques and other prevailing forces on ecological communities, researchers affirmed collaborative management of diverse professional objectives. It provides robust solutions compared to personal segmental interests. Regularly, there are huge prospects that sustainable ecological provision can attain at minimised costs without altering the eco-community [60]. Regarding this, plans or strategies for ecological sustainability must be created with people involvement from various segments, taking cognisance of challenges and facilitating immediate solutions. Many researchers revealed various land utilisation strategies. These involved the allocation of environmental provisions, human capability development, people participation, and environmental threats to minimise diverse eco-community classifications [61].

VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the contextual analysis, many avenues facilitate the information-based approach toward assessing carbon accumulations and atmospheric glasshouse gaseous movements among various ecological communities

worldwide. There are diverse fields with comprehensive information to facilitate strategic eco-community impact on climate alteration alleviation and environmental protection. The study provides broad dispersal of edaphic carbon accumulations which entails accumulations in wetlands, polar, coastline, and equatorial eco-communities. The research depicted a worldwide plan to expand wetland locations and its various activities toward protecting edaphic carbon. It revealed how weather conditions affect unrelated CO₂ discharges and earth solar reflections. These emerged from natural firebreaks, plant cover and water body transformations in wetland, savannah, tropical and polar eco-communities. The function of the edaphic fossil content in the ecological community is to evaluate the exchange of weathered carbon compared to the exchange of carbon discharged to the atmospheric environment.

Moreover, the study exposed the most efficient eco-community alleviation through information-based techniques. It also revealed the prediction of climate alteration, the sustainable strategy of diverse ecological community provisions, frequency of carbon accumulations and movements across the globe. The overall situational evaluation showed how ecological communities are affected both socially and economically. Mainly results from some dominant forces, including environmental destruction and transformation, and the consequences of human activities.

Empirical research has evaluated that lack of understanding regarding our ecological communities could influence decisions worldwide regarding weather conditions, diversity of living organisms, human civilisation, and programs. The research revealed an increased level of knowledge, facts and procedures that offer the foundation for critical management and goal framework which passes intelligence or news and foresight to policy formulators. The fact or data guiding this study derived from the various reports from IPCC, CBD, UNFCCC, UNCCD, and other related studies which citations utilised in this ongoing study. This study discovered that sustainable land utilisation initiatives are the tools for collaboration with climate alteration action and adjustment environmental threat cutting. These facilitate the constant supply of ecological provisions to every component of eco-communities. Previous studies across vast ecological zones globally depicted that sustainable strategies minimise glasshouse gaseous effects and carbon discharges within the eco-communities. Thus, effective alleviation of climate change gives a constructive outcome that minimises carbon accumulations and the threat of adverse effects of climatic alteration on ecological communities worldwide. Further studies should be focused thus: the way to improve land utilisation operation in wetland region; efficient control of pastoral farming both domestically and naturally with the supervision of public administrator; improve farming techniques with zero carbon discharges in order to facilitate massive production and transformation of ecological communities; and pursue of full recovery of loss eco-communities through climatic change initiatives that reduce environmental threat and loss of human occupations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wollenberg E, Richards M, Smith P, Havlik P, Obersteiner M, Tubiello FN, Herold M, Gerber P, Carter S, Reisinger A, van Vuuren DP. Reducing emissions from agriculture to meet the 2 °C target. *Global change biology*, 2016 Dec;22(12):3859-64.
- [2] Cook-Patton SC, Leavitt SM, Gibbs D, Harris NL, Lister K, Anderson-Teixeira KJ, Briggs RD, Chazdon RL, Crowther TW, Ellis PW, Griscom HP. Mapping carbon accumulation potential from global natural forest regrowth. *Nature*, 2020 Sep;585(7826):545-50.
- [3] Jones CD, Arora V, Friedlingstein P, Bopp L, Brovkin V, Dunne J, Graven H, Hoffman F, Ilyina T, John JG, Jung M. C4MIP-The coupled climate-carbon cycle model intercomparison project: Experimental protocol for CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 2016 Aug 25;9(8):2853-80.
- [4] Andela N, Liu YY, Van Dijk AI, De Jeu RA, McVicar TR. Global changes in dryland vegetation dynamics (1988–2008) assessed by satellite remote sensing: comparing a new passive microwave vegetation density record with reflective greenness data. *Biogeosciences*, 2013 Oct 24;10(10):6657-76.
- [5] Stocker T, editor. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis: Working Group I contribution to the Fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Cambridge university press*; 2014 Mar 24.
- [6] Alkama R, Cescatti A. Biophysical climate impacts of recent changes in global forest cover. *Science*, 2016 Feb 5;351(6273):600-4.
- [7] Dorner Z, Kerr S. Methane and Metrics: From global climate policy to the NZ farm. Available at SSRN 2660547. 2015 Aug 1.
- [8] de Jong Cleyndert G, Cuni-Sanchez A, Seki HA, Shirima DD, Munishi PK, Burgess N, Calders K, Marchant R. The effects of seaward distance on above and below ground carbon stocks in estuarine mangrove ecosystems. *Carbon balance and management*, 2020 Dec;15(1):1-5.
- [9] Kitidis V, Shutler JD, Ashton I, Warren M, Brown I, Findlay H, Hartman SE, Sanders R, Humphreys M, Kivimäe C, Greenwood N. Winter weather controls net influx of atmospheric CO₂ on the north-west European shelf. *Scientific Reports*, 2019 Dec 27;9(1):1-1.
- [10] Barnes RT, Butman DE, Wilson HF, Raymond PA. Riverine export of aged carbon driven by flow path depth and residence time. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2018 Feb 6;52(3):1028-35.
- [11] Minayeva TY, Bragg O, Sirin AA. Towards ecosystem-based restoration of peatland biodiversity. *Mires and Peat*, 2017 Jan 18;19(1):1-36.
- [12] Sitch S, Friedlingstein P, Gruber N, Jones SD, Murray-Tortarolo G, Ahlström A, Doney SC, Graven H, Heinze C, Huntingford C, Levis S. Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Biogeosciences*, 2015 Feb 2;12(3):653-79.
- [13] Berhe AA, Harte J, Harden JW, Torn MS. The significance of the erosion-induced terrestrial carbon sink. *BioScience*, 2007 Apr 1;57(4):337-46.
- [14] Reid H, Bourne A, Muller H, Podvin K, Scorgie S, Orindi V. A framework for assessing the effectiveness of ecosystem-based approaches to adaptation. *InResilience*, 2018 Jan 1 (pp. 207-216). Elsevier.
- [15] Churkina G, Brown DG, Keoleian G. Carbon stored in human settlements: the conterminous United States. *Global Change Biology*, 2010 Jan;16(1):135-43.
- [16] Güneralp B, McDonald RI, Fragkias M, Goodness J, Marcotullio PJ, Seto KC. Urbanization forecasts, effects on land use, biodiversity, and ecosystem services. In: *Urbanization, biodiversity and ecosystem services: Challenges and opportunities 2013* (pp. 437-452). Springer, Dordrecht.
- [17] Giri JD, Singh SK, Singh RS, Shyampura RL. Carbon stock and its distribution in soils of Ajmer district and management strategies for carbon sequestration. *Agropedol*, 2007;18:21-32.
- [18] Xiao R, Su S, Zhang Z, Qi J, Jiang D, Wu J. Dynamics of soil sealing and soil landscape patterns under rapid urbanization. *Catena*, 2013 Oct 1;109:1-2.
- [19] Chelleri L, Waters JJ, Olazabal M, Minucci G. Resilience trade-offs: addressing multiple scales and temporal aspects of urban resilience. *Environment and Urbanization*, 2015 Apr;27(1):181-98.
- [20] Ribeiro PJ, Gonçalves LA. Urban resilience: A conceptual framework. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 2019 Oct 1;50:101625.
- [21] Garmendia E, Apostolopoulou E, Adams WM, Bormpoudakis D. Biodiversity and green infrastructure in Europe: boundary object or ecological trap? *Land Use Policy*, 2016 Nov 1;56:315-9.
- [22] Jaffal I, Ouldboukhitine SE, Belarbi R. A comprehensive study of the impact of green roofs on building energy performance. *Renewable energy*, 2012 Jul 1;43:157-64.
- [23] Davies ZG, Dallimer M, Edmondson JL, Leake JR, Gaston KJ. Identifying potential sources of variability between vegetation carbon

- storage estimates for urban areas. *Environmental Pollution*, 2013 Dec 1;183:133-42.
- [24] Bandari K, Cooper C, Ruhanen L. The Role of the Destination Management Organisation in Responding to Climate Change: Organisational Knowledge and Learning. *Acta turistica*, 2014 Dec 1;26(2):91-102.
- [25] Cooper C. Transferring tourism knowledge: A challenge for tourism educators and researchers. *Challenges in tourism research*, 2015:310-8.
- [26] Prideaux B, McKercher B, McNamara KE. Climate change and tourism editorial. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 2013 Mar 1;18(1-2):1-3.
- [27] Schneider A, Ingram H. Behavioral assumptions of policy tools. *The journal of politics*, 1990 May 1;52(2):510-29.
- [28] Fankhauser S, Smith JB, Tol RS. Weathering climate change: some simple rules to guide adaptation decisions. *Ecological economics*, 1999 Jul 1;30(1):67-78.
- [29] Wise RM, Fazez I, Smith MS, Park SE, Eakin HC, Van Garderen EA, Campbell B. Reconceptualising adaptation to climate change as part of pathways of change and response. *Global environmental change*, 2014 Sep 1;28:325-36.
- [30] Moss RH, Meehl GA, Lemos MC, Smith JB, Arnold JR, Arnott JC, Behar D, Brasseur GP, Broomell SB, Busalacchi AJ, Dessai S. Hell and high water: practice-relevant adaptation science. *Science*, 2013 Nov 8;342(6159):696-8.
- [31] Elliott OV. The tools of government: A guide to the new governance. Oxford University Press; 2002 Feb 22.
- [32] Lähteenoja O, Reátegui YR, Räsänen M, Torres DD, Oinonen M, Page S. The large Amazonian peatland carbon sink in the subsiding Pastaza-Marañón foreland basin, Peru. *Global Change Biology*, 2012 Jan;18(1):164-78.
- [33] Minayeva TY, Sirin AA. Peatland biodiversity and climate change. *Biology Bulletin Reviews*, 2012 Mar;2(2):164-75.
- [34] Dommain R, Couwenberg J, Glaser PH, Joosten H, Suryadiputra IN. Carbon storage and release in Indonesian peatlands since the last deglaciation. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 2014 Aug 1;97:1-32.
- [35] Zhou G, Zhou X, He Y, Shao J, Hu Z, Liu R, Zhou H, Hosseini S. Grazing intensity significantly affects belowground carbon and nitrogen cycling in grassland ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 2017 Mar;23(3):1167-79.
- [36] Liu YY, van Dijk AI, McCabe MF, Evans JP, de Jeu RA. Global vegetation biomass change (1988–2008) and attribution to environmental and human drivers. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 2013 Jun;22(6):692-705.
- [37] Trummer K. The natural fix?: the role of ecosystems in climate mitigation: a UNEP rapid response assessment. UNEP/Earthprint; 2009.
- [38] Kumar PD. Climate Change, Forest Carbon Sequestration and REDD-Plus: The Context of India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 2014 May 24;22-5.
- [39] Beazley K, Baldwin R. Biodiversity and protected areas. *MDPI-Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 2019 Apr 16.
- [40] Valentini R, Arneeth A, Bombelli A, Castaldi S, Cazzolla Gatti R, Chevallier F, Ciais P, Grieco E, Hartmann J, Henry M, Houghton RA. A full greenhouse gases budget of Africa: synthesis, uncertainties, and vulnerabilities. *Biogeosciences*, 2014 Jan 28;11(2):381-407.
- [41] Lavery PS, Mateo MÁ, Serrano O, Rozaimi M. Variability in the carbon storage of seagrass habitats and its implications for global estimates of blue carbon ecosystem service. *PloS one*, 2013 Sep 5;8(9):e73748.
- [42] Lovelock CE, Ruess RW, Feller IC. CO₂ efflux from cleared mangrove peat. *PloS one*, 2011 Jun 29;6(6):e21279.
- [43] Mcleod E, Chmura GL, Bouillon S, Salm R, Björk M, Duarte CM, Lovelock CE, Schlesinger WH, Silliman BR. A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO₂. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 2011 Dec;9(10):552-60.
- [44] Rekwar RK, Patra A, Jatav HS, Singh SK, Mohapatra KK, Kundu A, Dutta A, Trivedi A, Sharma LD, Anjum M, Anil AS. Ecological aspects of the soil-water-plant-atmosphere system. In *Plant Perspectives to Global Climate Changes 2022* Jan 1 (pp. 279-302). Academic Press.
- [45] Schaefer K, Zhang T, Bruhwiler L, Barrett AP. Amount and timing of permafrost carbon release in response to climate warming. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 2011 Jan 1;63(2):168-80.
- [46] Hugelius G, Strauss J, Zubrzycki S, Harden JW, Schuur EA, Ping CL, Schirmer L, Grosse G, Michaelson GJ, Koven CD, O'Donnell JA. Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps. *Biogeosciences*, 2014 Dec 1;11(23):6573-93.
- [47] Don A, Schumacher J, Freibauer A. Impact of tropical land-use change on soil organic carbon stocks—a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 2011 Apr;17(4):1658-70.
- [48] Powlson DS, Whitmore AP, Goulding KW. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change: a critical re-examination to identify the true and the false. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 2011 Feb;62(1):42-55.
- [49] Köchy M, Hiederer R, Freibauer A. Global distribution of soil organic carbon—Part 1: Masses and frequency distributions of SOC stocks for the tropics, permafrost regions, wetlands, and the world. *Soil*, 2015 Apr 16;1(1):351-65.
- [50] Mandal RA, Dutta IC, Jha PK, Karmacharya S. Relationship between carbon stock and plant biodiversity in collaborative forests in Terai, Nepal. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2013;2013.
- [51] Jucker T, Asner GP, Dalponte M, Brodrick PG, Philipson CD, Vaughn NR, Teh YA, Brelsford C, Burslem DF, Deere NJ, Ewers RM. Estimating aboveground carbon density and its uncertainty in Borneo's structurally complex tropical forests using airborne laser scanning. *Biogeosciences*, 2018 Jun 22;15(12):3811-30.
- [52] Reich PB, Tilman D, Isbell F, Mueller K, Hobbie SE, Flynn DF, Eisenhauer N. Impacts of biodiversity loss escalate through time as redundancy fades. *Science*, 2012 May 4;336(6081):589-92.
- [53] Oliver TH, Heard MS, Isaac NJ, Roy DB, Procter D, Eigenbrod F, Freckleton R, Hector A, Orme CD, Petchey OL, Proença V. A synthesis is emerging between biodiversity–ecosystem function and ecological resilience research: reply to Mori. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 2016 Feb 1;31(2):89-92.
- [54] Zhao M, Brofeldt S, Li Q, Xu J, Danielsen F, Læssøe SB, Poulsen MK, Gottlieb A, Maxwell JF, Theilade I. Can community members identify tropical tree species for REDD+ carbon and biodiversity measurements? *PloS one*, 2016 Nov 4;11(11):e0152061.
- [55] Atwood SX, Sarin KY, Li JR, Yao C, Urman NM, Chang AL, Tang JY, Oro AE. Rolling the genetic dice: neutral and deleterious smoothed mutations in drug-resistant basal cell carcinoma. *The Journal of investigative dermatology*, 2015 Aug;135(8):2138.
- [56] Osuri AM, Ratnam J, Varma V, Alvarez-Loayza P, Hurtado Astaiza J, Bradford M, Fletcher C, Ndoundou-Hockemba M, Jansen PA, Kenfack D, Marshall AR. Contrasting effects of defaunation on aboveground carbon storage across the global tropics. *Nature communications*, 2016 Apr 25;7(1):1-7.
- [57] Locatelli B, Paveau C, Pramova E, Di Gregorio M. Integrating climate change mitigation and adaptation in agriculture and forestry: opportunities and trade-offs. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 2015 Nov;6(6):585-98.
- [58] Neufeldt H, Jahn M, Campbell BM, Beddington JR, DeClerck F, De Pinto A, Gullledge J, Hellin J, Herrero M, Jarvis A, LeZaks D. Beyond climate-smart agriculture: toward safe operating spaces for global food systems. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 2013 Dec;2(1):1-6.
- [59] Mertz O, Mertens CF. Land sparing and land sharing policies in developing countries—drivers and linkages to scientific debates. *World Development*, 2017 Oct 1;98:523-35.
- [60] Kauffman JB, Heider C, Cole TG, Dwire KA, Donato DC. Ecosystem carbon stocks of Micronesian mangrove forests. *Wetlands*, 2011 Apr;31(2):343-52.
- [61] Steenwerth KL, Hodson AK, Bloom AJ, Carter MR, Cattaneo A, Chartres CJ, Hatfield JL, Henry K, Hopmans JW, Horwath WR, Jenkins BM. Climate-smart agriculture global research agenda: scientific basis for action. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 2014 Dec;3(1):1-39.



Professor (Dr.) Mucahit Coskun is a seasoned and highly professional academician and administrator in the Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Geography, Karabuk University, Turkey.



Gbadeyanka Gbadebo Edward is a PhD scholar in the Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Geography, Karabuk University, Turkey; seasoned teacher, administrator, researcher and consultant.